THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.13172 of 2007

Dated: 06.07.2007

Between:

P.Raja Rajeswari W/o P.Rajamouli

… **PETITIONER**

And:

The Government of A.P., rep., by its Secretary,
Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

…. RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.13172 of 2007

ORDER:

Petitioner presented a sale deed, dated 24.03.2007, through which she purchased an extent of 333.33 square yards of land in Sy.No.74/9 situated at Marredpally village, Secunderabad, before the third respondent for registration. The document was assigned pending number, being P.No.60/2007. However, it was not registered on the ground that the Government published a notification, dated 19.06.2000, in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette, under Section 22-A of the Registration Act, 1908 (for short "the Act"), prohibiting registration of lands in various survey numbers, including those in Sy.No.74 of Marredpally. Petitioner contends that this Court held Section 22-A of the Act as ultra vires the legislative power, and thereby, the notification itself stood invalidated, and prays for a direction to the respondent to release the document, after registration.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

It is no doubt true that this Court held that Section 22-A of the Act is ultra vires and as a result, the notifications issued thereunder stood set aside. However, in the recent past, an important development has taken place. The Andhra Pradesh State Legislature had introduced Section 22-A of the Act with different content, through Act 19 of 2007. The newly introduced section, *inter alia,* validates the notifications that were issued under the old provision. Therefore, unless the petitioner challenges the notification, dated 19.06.2000, issued in the Andhra Pradesh Gazette No.269, she cannot be granted any relief.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed, leaving it open to the petitioner to workout her remedies in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

06.07.2007

sh